

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/698,219 10/31/2003		Christian Wilms	081276-1019	1495		
34044	7590 03/30/200	6	EXAMINER			
•	BEST & FRIEDRIC	GRAHAM, GARY K				
	VISCONSIN AVENUI EE, WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1744	1744		

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/698,2	19	WILMS ET AL.  Art Unit			
		Examine					
		Gary K. G	raham	1744			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres:	s		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL assions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. ory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this commun O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	⊠ This action is nation is national in allowance except	for formal matters, pro		rits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □	Claim(s) 14-20,22-30 and 32 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 14-20,22-30 and 32 is/are rejected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction  on Papers	withdrawn from co	nsideration.				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	on to the drawing(s) to e correction is requir	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.			
Priority L	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		)		

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 21 March 2006 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,219

Art Unit: 1744

Claims 14, 15, 23, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Block (German patent 19924662).

Page 3

The patent to Block discloses the invention substantially as is claimed (fig.3), including a wiper arm (12) with a device on a free end thereof for detachable, pivotal connection to a wiper blade (14). The device includes an articulating bolt (56) that can be welded to the wiper arm and a safety hook (60), which is longer than the bolt (fig. 5), for partially gripping around the wiper blade.

The patent to Block discloses all of the above recited subject matter with the exception of the hook being at the free end of the wiper arm with the bolt between the hook and the driving end of the wiper arm.

To rearrange or shift the order of the bolt and hook of Block does not appear inventive. Merely swapping the position of the two components of Block does not appear inventive as the operation of the device would not thereby be modified. Attachment of the blade to the arm is still achieved by introducing the blade at 90 degrees to the arm onto the bolt then pivoting by 90 degrees to secure the blade by the hook. There appears no patentable significance to switching the position of the components. It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to switch the positions of the bolt and hook such that the bolt is between the hook and the non-free end of the wiper arm, to provide or enable uniform arm cross section from the non-free end up to the bolt (thus improving arm strength), lacking any criticality of such switch. Applicant has set forth no significance as to the order of the components.

Claims 14-17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laar et al (Netherlands patent 1017344).

The patent to Laar discloses the invention substantially as is claimed (figs.1-3), including a wiper arm (1) with a device for detachable connection to a pivoted wiper blade at one end. The device includes an articulated bolt (4) that can be welded (12) to the wiper arm and a safety hook (not numbered, but clearly shown), which is longer than the bolt (fig.2), for partially gripping around the wiper blade.

The patent to Laar discloses all of the above recited subject matter with the exception of the hook being at the free end of the wiper arm with the bolt between the hook and the driving end of the wiper arm.

To rearrange or shift the order of the bolt and hook of Laar does not appear inventive. Merely swapping the position of the two components of Laar does not appear inventive as the operation of the device would not thereby be modified. Attachment of the blade to the arm is still achieved by introducing the blade at 90 degrees to the arm onto the bolt then pivoting by 90 degrees to secure the blade by the hook. There appears no patentable significance to switching the position of the components. It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to switch the positions of the bolt and hook such that the bolt is between the hook and the non-free end of the wiper arm, to provide or enable uniform arm cross section from the non-free end up to the bolt (thus improving arm strength), lacking any criticality of such switch. Applicant has set forth no significance as to the order of the components.

Art Unit: 1744

With respect to claims 16, 17, 26, 27, 29, 30 note that the arm of Laar is formed of flat material (sheet metal) that is twisted about 45 degrees at a connection section around its longitudinal axis (see fig.2).

With respect to claims 22 and 32, note the figure 6 embodiment of Laar wherein the wiper arm will restrict pivotal motion of the blade as it will be above the blade.

Claims 14, 16, 18, 23, 24, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park (KR patent 1020020072622).

The patent to Park discloses the invention substantially as is claimed (see fig.), including a wiper arm (20) with a device for detachable connection to a pivoted wiper blade (40) at one end. The device includes an articulated bolt (32) fixed to the wiper arm and a safety hook (28) for partially gripping around the wiper blade. With respect to claims 16 and 26, note that the arm of Park is formed of flat material that is twisted about 90 degrees at a connection section around its longitudinal axis (see fig.2).

The patent to Park discloses all of the above recited subject matter with the exception of the hook being at the free end of the wiper arm with the bolt between the hook and the driving end of the wiper arm.

Art Unit: 1744

To rearrange or shift the order of the bolt and hook of Park does not appear inventive. Merely swapping the position of the two components of Park does not appear inventive as the operation of the device would not thereby be modified. Attachment of the blade to the arm is still achieved by introducing the blade at 90 degrees to the arm onto the bolt then pivoting by 90 degrees to secure the blade by the hook. There appears no patentable significance to switching the position of the components. It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to switch the positions of the bolt and hook such that the bolt is between the hook and the non-free end of the wiper arm, to provide or enable uniform arm cross section from the non-free end up to the bolt (thus improving arm strength), lacking any criticality of such switch. Applicant has set forth no significance as to the order of the components.

With respect to claims 18 and 28, note that a connecting section of Park is twisted 90 degrees about the longitudinal axis of the wiper arm.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14 and 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. It should be noted that applicant sets forth in his specification that his figures 2 and 4a embodiments are mere variations of one another. No distinction has been set forth between the two. Merely shifting of the location of components, as set forth above, seems reasonable absent some criticality to the particular arrangement of the components.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,219 Page 7

Art Unit: 1744

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary K. Graham whose telephone number is 571-272-1274. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys J. Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary K Graham Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1744

28 March 2006